Review:

"Industrie 4.0" and Smart Manufacturing – A Review of Research Issues and Application Examples

Klaus-Dieter Thoben[*,**], **Stefan Wiesner**[*,†], **and Thorsten Wuest**[***]

[*]BIBA – Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH, the University of Bremen
Hochschulring 20, 28359 Bremen, Germany
[†]Corresponding author, E-mail: wie@biba.uni-bremen.de
[**]Faculty of Production Engineering, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany
[***]Industrial and Management Systems Engineering,
Benjamin M. Statler College of Engineering and Mineral Resources, West Virginia University, Morgantown, USA
[Received May 16, 2016; accepted December 1, 2016]

A fourth industrial revolution is occurring in global manufacturing. It is based on the introduction of *Internet of things* and *servitization* concepts into manufacturing companies, leading to vertically and horizontally integrated production systems. The resulting *smart factories* are able to fulfill dynamic customer demands with high variability in small lot sizes while integrating human ingenuity and automation. To support the manufacturing industry in this conversion process and enhance global competitiveness, policy makers in several countries have established research and technology transfer schemes. Most prominently, Germany has enacted its *Industrie 4.0* program, which is increasingly affecting European policy, while the United States focuses on *smart manufacturing*. Other industrial nations have established their own programs on smart manufacturing, notably Japan and Korea. This shows that manufacturing intelligence has become a crucial topic for researchers and industries worldwide. The main object of these activities are the so-called cyber-physical systems (CPS): physical entities (e.g., machines, vehicles, and work pieces), which are equipped with technologies such as RFIDs, sensors, microprocessors, telematics or complete embedded systems. They are characterized by being able to collect data of themselves and their environment, process and evaluate these data, connect and communicate with other systems, and initiate actions. In addition, CPS enabled new services that can replace traditional business models based solely on product sales. The objective of this paper is to provide an overview of the Industrie 4.0 and smart manufacturing programs, analyze the application potential of CPS starting from product design through production and logistics up to maintenance and exploitation (e.g., recycling), and identify current and future research issues. Besides the technological perspective, the paper also takes into account the economic side considering the new business strategies and models available.

Keywords: industry 4.0, smart manufacturing, cyber-physical systems, industrial internet, smart factory

1. Introduction

Three industrial revolutions have so far led to paradigm changes in the domain of manufacturing – mechanization through water and steam power, mass production in assembly lines, and automation using information technology. However, over the past years, industries together with researchers and policy makers worldwide have increasingly advocated an upcoming fourth industrial revolution (see **Fig. 1**).

For example, the German government promotes the computerization of manufacturing industries in their *Industrie 4.0* (I4.0) program [1, 2], while in the United States, *smart manufacturing* initiatives such as the Smart Manufacturing Leadership Coalition (SMLC), drive and facilitate the broad adoption of manufacturing intelligence [3]. Other major manufacturing countries such as Japan [4] and Korea [5] have also established national programs on smart manufacturing.

The fourth industrial revolution is characterized by the introduction of the *Internet of things* (IoT) and *Internet of services* concepts into manufacturing, which enables *smart factories* with vertically and horizontally integrated production systems. In industries worldwide, highly flexible processes that can be changed quickly enable individualized mass production. Variants are self-determined through items delivering their own production data to intelligent machines [6], which are aware of the environment, exchange information, and control processes in production and logistics by themselves. Data are collected along the entire life cycle in large quantities and stored decentralized to enable local decisions; however, the said data are still transparent to be exchanged with partners. In order to realize this vision, elements such as machines, storage systems, and utilities must be able to share information, as well as act and control each other autonomously. Such systems are called *cyber-physical systems* (CPS) [7].

CPS emerge through complex networking and integration of embedded systems, application systems, and infrastructure, enabled by human machine interaction. In contrast to conventional systems used for production or




Fig. 1. Four industrial revolutions.

logistics, CPS can be seen as *systems of systems*, which require collaboration of different disciplines such as mechanical engineering, electrical engineering, and computer science for their realization [8].

The industrial transformation associated with the smart manufacturing revolution and the introduction of CPS creates numerous challenges for organizations, technologies, and employees. As illustrated in **Fig. 1**, the complexity in production has increased with each industrial revolution. Dynamic socio-technical systems have emerged, consisting of a large number of tangible, intangible, as well as human elements. This complexity has to be managed by appropriate methods and tools. Furthermore, the interaction between humans and machines requires the right interfaces and concepts to be efficient and safe. New and innovative services are possible based on CPS technologies; however, they also need new innovative business models (BMs) to be profitable [9].

I4.0, *smart manufacturing*, and the other initiatives aim to provide a foundation to overcome these challenges and support manufacturing companies and their stakeholders in their transition to smart manufacturing. They aim to develop and deliver appropriate models, methods, and tools for manufacturing companies, as well as establish prototype implementations that can be used as exemplary blueprints for other companies that are interested in this development.

The objective of this paper is to provide an overview on these initiatives with a focus on I4.0 and smart manufacturing and provide selected application examples. Based on the results, current and future research issues for smart manufacturing will be identified. The next section (2) introduces the scope and methodology of the review, while section 3 describes the different initiatives. Section 4 illustrates the application scenarios and research issues, and the paper is concluded in section 5.

2. Scope and Methodology

The scope of this review comprises an overview on current smart manufacturing initiatives, research issues, and application examples. This includes trends in manufacturing to utilize IoT and related services, as well as the resulting industrial practices. In this paper, smart manufacturing refers mainly to the use of intelligent machines, or CPS that are networked, context-aware, and self-controlled. The focus of the review lies on the European, specifically the German I4.0 initiative, and smart manufacturing activities in the United States. Other programs, e.g., in Japan and Korea (smart factory), are recognized; however, they are not analyzed in detail.

The methodology of the review is based on two approaches – a literature review on the state-of-the-art in smart manufacturing and I4.0 and a study on application scenarios from research and industries. For the literature review, fundamental official publications from the initiatives have been taken into account. Additionally, relevant papers identified through title, abstracts, and keywords from interdisciplinary search engines such as SCOPUS have been analyzed. Regarding the application scenarios, lighthouse projects from research and industries, some of which the authors are directly involved, have been studied in order to identify current and future research issues.


3. Definitions and Frameworks

This section introduces definitions and frameworks in the scope of smart manufacturing. First, the main initatives of I4.0 in Germany and smart manufacturing in the United States are presented. Next, other initiatives and related terms are described.

3.1. Industrie 4.0

For Germany, which has one of the most competitive manufacturing industries in the world and a strong machinery and plant fabrication, it is vital to master the challenges of a fourth industrial revolution. Therefore, the German government has established its I4.0 program [1] to keep its manufacturing competitiveness. It is based on the assumption that *"industrial production in the near future will be characterized by the strong individualization of products under the conditions of highly flexible (large series) production, the extensive integration of customers and business partners in business and value-added processes, and the linking of production and high-quality services that leads to so-called hybrid products"* [10].

I4.0 comprises a paradigm shift from automated manufacturing toward an intelligent manufacturing concept. The physical and virtual worlds grow together and objects including machines are equipped with sensors and actuators [11]. Intelligent manufacturing implementation will make use of concepts such as IoT to facilitate this change. The exclusive feature in I4.0 is to fulfill individual customer requirements with product variants in a very small lot size, down to one-off items [2]. The availability of all relevant information in real-time will enable manufacturing systems to meet customer requirements without waste due to reconfiguration of assembly lines or setup times



Source: Final report of the working group Industrie 4.0 [1]

Fig. 2. CPS Vision of Industrie 4.0.

through dynamic business and engineering processes (see **Fig. 2**).

In this context, smart manufacturing and logistics systems can generate the optimal value stream to fulfill real-time demands as well as create new BMs based on better predictive maintenance, robustness in product design, and adaptive logistics.

I4.0 addresses research and development actions in eight key areas to support the adoption of its principles in industry [1]:

i *Standardization and reference architecture:* Collaborative partnerships of organizations in value networks require a set of common standards in a reference architecture.

ii *Managing complex systems:* The higher complexity of systems and products requires appropriate models for their management.

iii *A comprehensive broadband infrastructure for industry:* IoT requires a reliable and fast communication network infrastructure.

iv *Safety and security:* Related to close human-machine interaction, manufacturing systems must not harm people or the environment. Furthermore, data and information require access authorization and privacy measures.

v *Work organization and design:* Along with machines, the environment and processes of work will change as well, giving the employee greater freedom and responsibility.

vi *Training and continuing professional development:* In relation to the previous key area, the worker needs to be qualified through suitable training and life-long learning.

vii *Regulatory framework:* Together with organizational changes, legislation has to take into account new innovations, particularly for privacy and liability regulations.

viii *Resource efficiency:* By improving productivity and resource efficiency, raw material and energy consumptions should be lowered.

Along with the actions in the key areas, manufacturing companies have to develop new business strategies for I4.0. Value networks and profit / loss sharing will be strongly linked to the individual customer problem. Responsibilities and privacy rules are described in service level agreements [1].

In Germany, the federal government has been funding the research agenda of I4.0 through several programs during the past years. The Federal Ministry of Education and Research has published seven calls with an overall funding of Euro 120 million, while the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy has funded projects with another Euro 80 million [12].

3.2. Smart Manufacturing

Smart manufacturing is a term coined by several agencies such as the Department of Energy (DoE) and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the United States. Wallace and Riddick [13] describe smart manufacturing in short as *"a data intensive application of information technology at the shop floor level and above to enable intelligent, efficient, and responsive operations."* While there are more comprehensive definitions available (e.g., [14]), they all highlight the use of information and communication technology (ICT) and advanced data analytics to improve manufacturing operations at all levels of the supply network, be it on the shop floor [15], factory [16] or supply chain [14, 17]. Some authors went even a step further and extended the smart manufacturing framework beyond manufacturing itself, highlighting the life cycle perspective [18]. This broad focus already highlights the close proximity of smart manufacturing to other established areas such as I4.0 (see previous section) and intelligent manufacturing systems [19].

Smart manufacturing incorporates various technologies, including but not limited to cyber-physical production systems (CPPS), IoT, robotics/automation, big data analytics, and cloud computing [20, 21] to realize the vision of a data-driven, connected supply network. An important aspect that differentiates smart manufacturing from many other initiatives is the specific emphasis on human ingenuity within the framework. Humans are not to be simply replaced by artificial intelligence and automation on the shop floor but their capabilities are to be enhanced by smartly designing a customized solution for a specific area. The importance of product and process information and data in enabling technology and (human or machine inherent) knowledge is commonly accepted. Highlighting the broad and comprehensive scope of smart manufacturing, its three main objectives are as follows [14]:

- Plantwide optimization

- Sustainable production
- Agile supply chains

In the United States, several federal funding agencies have calls for funding placed to drive smart manufacturing. For example, the DoE has announced up to US$70 million in funding for smart manufacturing [22], while NIST had several calls for their smart manufacturing program with a budget of approximately US$30 million per year. Several other initiatives offer additional opportunities in this area or closely related ones, such as the SMLC or the National Science Foundation (NSF) cybermanufacturing program.

3.3. Other Related Terms and Initiatives

Intelligent Manufacturing / Intelligent Manufacturing Systems (IMS): Intelligent manufacturing is sometimes used synonymously with smart manufacturing. While the close collaboration of the IMS organization with several smart manufacturing funding agencies and research institutions support this, there is a notion that intelligent manufacturing may focus more on technological aspects and less on organizational ones. Kumar's [19] definition of an intelligent manufacturing process as having *"the ability to self-regulate and/or self-control to manufacture the product within the design specifications"* shows that at least some researchers see intelligent manufacturing as more focused on analytics and control aspects. However, the original definition from the later 1980s by Yoshikawa [23] emphasizes as well the importance of humans within the system, supporting the similarity with smart manufacturing.

Smart Factory: Smart factory is a term used in different contexts for some time. Some might argue that smart factory is focused more on the individual entity (plant level) [24–26] rather than the broader supply network scope of smart manufacturing and I4.0. In this case, the smart factory paradigm relates strongly to industrial Internet of things (IIoT) and CPS [27]. However, other sources refer specifically to the I4.0 initiative as the basis for the smart factory movement [5, 28], with the Korean smart factory initiative being at the forefront. Furthermore, NSF (USA) has issued a joint call for proposals with the Korean National Research Foundation specifically aiming at collaborations including the smart manufacturing domain, which indicates that the broader perspective is shared by smart factory and that the program scope is similar to smart manufacturing and I4.0.

IoT/IIoT: While intelligent manufacturing and smart factory paradigms may be argued to be similar to smart manufacturing and I4.0, the IoT paradigm is more ICT oriented [29]. IoT's vision of ubiquitous computing [30] is to connect the physical world with the virtual world and facilitate communication between all connected entities [31, 32]. IoT requires its physical entities to have certain amount of smart concepts incorporated, in the sense of communication, data processing, and/or sensing capability. In recent years, a subparadigm, the IIoT emerged, focusing on the interconnectivity of industrial assets, such as manufacturing machines, tools, and logistics operations [33]. In this understanding, many of the basic requirements are similar to those faced by smart manufacturing and I4.0, e.g., the challenge of interoperability and privacy/security issues [34]. Overall, IoT/IIoT can be understood as an enabling technology similar to CP(P)S [21, 35].

Industrial Internet: The industrial Internet is understood as the unification of (industrial) machines and software [36]. Their global outlet is the Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC)[1]. This basic understanding highlights the similarity toward CP(P)S and IIoT [37] as a more technology focused framework. Some argue that the main difference between industrial Internet and smart manufacturing and I4.0 is the more focused scope, mainly looking at the machine and maybe the shop-floor level instead of the overall supply network [38]. Others understand industrial Internet as the foundation for system-wide optimization [36].

4. Applications and Research Issues

In this section, two main topics are discussed. First, selected application scenarios and use cases of smart manufacturing are presented. Derived from selected application scenarios and enhanced by literature and experience of the authors, current and future research issues in the context of smart manufacturing and I4.0 are discussed thereafter.

4.1. Application Scenarios & Use Cases

The selection of application scenarios was made to present a broad variety in order to highlight the wide scope of the initiatives. The application cases range from technological initiatives implementing CPS in small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) intralogistics [39], over human robot interaction at the shop-floor level using sensors and image recognition [40], to new BMs around product-enhancing services based on life cycle and sensor data [41].

4.1.1. Cyber-Physical Logistics System

The case company in this application scenario is a gear manufacturer from one of the first I4.0 lighthouse projects in Germany that has organized its processes according to the principles of lean production. Stocks in production are kept at a low level despite a high number of variants, and intralogistics are managed by a container-Kanban-procedure in combination with a milk run. For every machine, there is a delivery space where only one floor roller (transport unit for several load carriers) for exactly one production order can be placed, and also one pick up area. A human-operated electric train services the machines every hour to pick up finished orders and deliver supplies. The machines are arranged in such a way that the train can reach all machines by driving an "eight"-shaped route. At the intersection of the loops, it is also

1. www.iiconsortium.org




Fig. 3. Scenario of the cyber-physical production system (following Reinhart et al. [42]).

possible to turn to the area for incoming and outgoing goods. Each full hour, all stations are serviced, picking off finished orders, distributing them, and noting which delivery areas are empty. These free delivery spaces are equipped with orders from the buffer stock in the following cycle. The fixed-cycle time leads to a high fluctuation in floor roller usage and waste of electric train capacity. The complete loops are always serviced, although there might be no need for transport, as there is no up-to-date information about collection and delivery orders.

A cyber-physical production system has been established, featuring a cyber-physical logistics system (CPLS), to increase the efficiency of lean production in this scenario, with many variations of products and not completely leveled and synchronized production lines. The aim of the CPLS is to increase flexibility through autonomous decisions and enable a reduction of inventories by autonomous solving of errors in real time. The demand-driven milk run is based on information about the occupancy of the delivery and pick up spaces. Furthermore, cyber-physical load carriers (CP-LC) with sensors to locate themselves and to monitor the environmental conditions (e.g., temperature and acceleration) that are affecting the components have been introduced (see **Fig. 3**).

CP-LC can communicate with other CPS and can transmit their position to the intralogistics employee. In this way he/she gets the information on delivery or collection needs before starting a new cycle. For this purpose, a tablet PC is chosen on which the current needs are displayed. On this device, the remaining processing times of machines and logs of operational data are considered to estimate the completion dates of the current production orders, thereby calculating the optimal departure time. The employee can now decide based on this information when he/she starts the next tour. Under the restrictions that the machine must not run empty and that only one order can be placed on the delivery space of the machine, the number of cycles and loops is lowered through networking the individual logistics units, reducing transport and motion processes to a minimum. The reduction of cycles of the milk run has measurable advantages for the productivity of the case company, because the employees have more time for their core activities in commissioning, shipping, and storage. According to a simulation based on the current capacity of the electric train, the number of cycles can be reduced by approximately 68%, while the number of driven loops in the cycles can be reduced by approximately 27%.

4.1.2. Safe Human-Robot Interaction

Smart manufacturing is different from the pure automation focus of previous initiatives. For a smart robotics factory within the context of I4.0 and IoT, where high productivity is demanded by the market, collaboration between human workers and robots is the key. Human workers are essential in their role of either supervisor/collaborator and for jobs where robots are not trained or capable of doing. These so-called collaborative robots (cobots) [43] are being studied in a dedicated area of research and several companies have already brought forth their vision of such systems.

The constant human presence in or near the robot's work area forces companies to rethink how a robotic work space is organized and shared with its human counterparts. Traditionally, the robotic work area was fenced off and humans are prohibited to enter during operation owing to safety concerns (see **Fig. 4**).

Within the I4.0 initiative, the presented application research focuses on new ways to a) ensure the safety of




Fig. 4. Traditional protection solution.




Fig. 5. CPS protection solution.

human workers and b) limit the restrictions of a divided workspace. The core of this robotic factory CPS development is the integration of dynamic characteristics of the individual components. The individual protection components register context, situation, and status of worker, machine, plant, and process and activate protective mechanisms before a hazard, e.g., collision, can occur. The production process will run without threats and interruptions and this will achieve the level of security and safety that meets worker safety legal requirements on the shop floor. Symbiotic human-robot collaboration [37] is defined for a fenceless environment in which productivity and resource effectiveness can be improved by combining the flexibility of humans and the accuracy of machines. Robotic CPS can enable such human-robot collaboration with the characteristics of dynamic task planning, active collision avoidance, and adaptive robot control. Humans are part of the CPS design, in which human instructions to robots by speech, signs or hand gestures are possible during collaborative handling, assembly, packaging, food processing or other tasks. All of these industrial tasks bring the focus of current research to human-robot collaboration on heavy payload robots. The approach is to exhibit safe intermediate human-robot collaboration without any fencing. In order to realize this, extra safety and protection measures need to be implemented for collaborative robotic CPS (see **Fig. 5**).

The human component is well connected through different adaptor technologies, e.g., human position tracking and safety distance parameters are important considerations for worker safety in robotic CPS. Robotic CPS are highly automated systems as they remove the boundaries between composite elements and support their operational interactions, thus achieving a truly smart system with humans in the loop, enabled by connected entities that are able to sense, interpret, and react.

4.1.3. Video Surveillance as a Service

This application scenario describes a use case from an ongoing European research project on servitization of manufacturing. The case company is a vendor for the aviation sector, which offers fully integrated solutions for surveillance systems. This aircraft security video system (ASVS) is an integrated, video-based infrastructure. As a modular solution, the system consolidates several components, all of which are required by a universal surveillance system for aviation. The focus of the use case is on the cabin video surveillance system, which helps flight attendants to monitor the cabin area while the aircraft is in flight. It generates video streams, which are stored on a memory cartridge within the central video unit (CVU/DVR). These systems are customized, individual turnkey solutions, certified according to aviation standards and approved by aviation authorities. Customers are airline companies, which retrofit their aircraft with buyer-furnished surveillance solutions from the vendor. In general, the design, manufacturing, operation, and maintenance of aircrafts and (airborne) aircraft equipment are strictly regulated by authorities (mainly European Aviation Safety Agency, Federal Aviation Administration, and local authorities), which means that in case of changes, the system has to be recertified, which is a long and expensive process.

The company offers a ground station as extension to the ASVS, which only allows viewing video data or searching and exporting specific chunks of it. It does not have to be certified, as it is not part of the "flying equipment" and can thus be modified more easily. The idea is to transform the ground station into a CPS that interfaces with the CVU/DVR to offer a web-based service, which archives the video data automatically and offers access to the video data of an airline on demand via internet. Additional automatic analyses of video streams and logging data can be added in the future to provide even more services for the customer as well as system status data for maintenance (see **Fig. 6**).

The challenge for the company to develop an appropriate CPS is on how to modify and assemble the new ground station for the proposed service. Additionally, a new software will be required to handle the proposed actions for the service. As service engineering has not been a core




Fig. 6. Video surveillance as a service scenario.

competence of the company, specific support in managing the service life cycle, from ideation over requirements engineering and testing, is required. Customer feedback has to be collected in order to improve the service; this information can be also be fed into the ideation phase for additional services.

A major change for the company is the transition from selling their video surveillance solution to providing video archiving and analysis services. In order to make an innovative, but safe shift from a pure product supplier to a product-service provider, there is a need to identify if the service will be accepted by the market, possibly also in other sectors (e.g., train surveillance). Furthermore, it has to be ensured that the service business does not cannibalize the product business and is able to generate stable and continuous revenues. Thus, a BM innovation is required to offer the enhanced functionality to the customer.

4.2. Research Issues

In this section, current research issues regarding the adoption of I4.0 and smart manufacturing are presented. The identified research issues are structured in three main categories, namely technological, methodological, and business case research issues. Some of the discussed research issues represent aspects that could be grouped within more than one of these categories. In such cases, the grouping is based upon the most significant factor according to the opinion of the authors.

4.2.1. Technological Research Issues

Standards/interfaces: In the global economy, supply networks are formed in the majority of cases by a number of heterogeneous entities. Heterogeneous in this case includes different dimensions, e.g., company size, location, and the used software solutions. While some companies might choose proprietary solutions available on the market, others might prefer or are forced by economic limitations to use self-developed or open-access solutions. When companies with different systems choose to work together, interoperability is a major issue that needs to be addressed to enable smart manufacturing. This is reflected in the CPLS use case for example, where machines, transport systems, and human interface devices from different vendors need to collaborate. Owing to the dynamic and complex nature of manufacturing, a one-of-a-kind integration will not suffice and commonly respected standards are needed to facilitate the formation and operation of successful smart manufacturing supply networks.

Data analytics: Data analytics or *big data analytics* is a core component of the data-based smart manufacturing and I4.0 initiatives [44]. Even though data analytics is grouped under technological issues, there are several aspects that would qualify it for grouping under the methodological issues section as well. Besides the more algorithm-based core of data analytics, the methods used to connect existing and/or newly developed algorithms with manufacturing realities are essential for the successful execution of smart manufacturing. Data analytics is essential to connect the captured sensor (and other manufacturing/supply chain related) data and humans in the loop. Here, the strong connection to data visualization (see visualization section) is inherent and highlights the interdependency of the various issues described. The increasing degree of automation of smart manufacturing systems with real-time data availability and automated monitoring and control depend on strong algorithms supporting human decisions. The cobots application case for example, emphasizes the importance of advanced and reliable data analytics algorithms for image recognition and movement prediction [43] as it is the foundation of the safe collaboration between humans and robotic systems at the envisioned open shop floor. Data analytics as a research stream on its own has several current research issues that are described in more detail in [45–48]. In the context of smart manufacturing, the important research issues are to connect interdependent data sources, e.g., tool wear, quality inspection, material flow, and energy usage,

and derive value added information in real-time to improve the system performance [49, 50]. A challenge with the emergence of cheap sensors and data storage (e.g., in the cloud) is the steady increase of available raw data (dimensionality) and the associated issues of i) increasing complexity, ii) dynamics, iii) data quality, iv) validation and verification, v) and communication.

Data security issues: I4.0 and smart manufacturing are by definition very data focused, with CPS connecting all entities and allowing real-time data capturing and exchange using smart sensors and wireless communication protocols. More and more cloud-based services provide additional functionality and accessibility to manufacturing data from outside of the facility. This however comes at a price – with the increase in valuable data and analytical means to use them, the motivation for external parties with potential criminal intent increases as well, e.g., in the case of aircraft video surveillance. As manufacturing data are the core of manufacturing companies' competitive advantage, systems need to be developed to prevent unauthorized access to data. A second data security issue that needs attention is the access to connected machines and control systems from outside the companies. Owing to the high level of integration and connectivity, this presents another high priority target for criminal third parties, aiming at, for example, sabotage of the manufacturing processes.

Data quality: While big data and other data analytics research streams gain significant attention, the issue of data quality is similarly important [51]. With the increasing amount of manufacturing data available, ensuring the integrity and quality of the captured and communicated data presents a challenge. Low-quality data may lead to results that endanger the data-based optimization and monitoring systems. Automated data quality monitoring algorithms need to be developed and evaluated in a manufacturing environment to support the human users and to help improve the trust in data-based decisions. Another aspect of data quality is the heterogeneity of manufacturing data, particularly when looking at the entire life cycle of a product. The annotations of the data entities are very diverse and it is an increasing challenge to incorporate diverse data repositories with different semantics for advanced data analytics. Systems such as the semantic mediator [52], applied in the CPLS case need to be developed further and included in the standards mentioned previously.

Sensors/actuators: With regard to sensing systems, significant progress has been achieved in recent years on quality of measurements, size of systems, and price. However, with the increasing demand of sensors and real-time control of manufacturing processes, for example in human-robot interaction, the requirements on sensors and the systems they are embedded in increased as well. Reliability, energy consumption, and communication protocols are just a few areas where more studies have to be conducted for the adoption of smart manufacturing on a broad scale.


4.2.2. Methodological Research Issues

Reference models: For a clear description of complex concepts, reference models are required. This is particularly true for smart systems, with a variety of technical standards from various disciplines [53]. New relationships that did not exist before are formed among these standards, making coordination efforts necessary [54]. Currently, no standards exist to assess production system capabilities and link the results back to upstream activities in the life cycle [15]. Based on this, a number of research issues could be identified, namely, i) the need to establish common definitions of fundamental concepts and modeling formalisms [17, 54–55]; ii) methods for the structured description of comparable use cases [53, 54]; iii) advanced knowledge representation mechanisms, supporting stakeholder communications and strategic standardization across manufacturing [54–56]; iv) dynamic orchestration of decision/action workflows in heterogeneous environments [17, 56]; and v) a socio-technical evolution process for the reference model [57].

An example of such a reference model to be standardized by DIN has been created for I4.0 (RAMI 4.0). It includes the vertical networking of the means of production, workpiece, and associated data, as well as horizontal networking beyond the single factory toward the formation of dynamic value networks. The resulting model contains the main aspects of I4.0 (see **Fig. 7**).

Hierarchy levels based on IEC 62264 are extended by a "product" and a "connected world" level. The life cycle of products and machines is represented horizontally, distinguished between types and individual instances. Finally, six layers describe the IT representation of the I4.0 component in a structured way. The special characteristics of RAMI 4.0 are the combination of life cycle and value stream with a structured approach to define the I4.0 components.

Visualization: Visualization is grouped under methodological issues; however, it has also a strong technological part. Visualization is an important vehicle to communicate complex manufacturing information [58], e.g., the complex results of data analytics [59], to diverse stakeholders inside or outside of the organization. Examples are recorded video streams and operational data from the aircraft surveillance case or material flow rate, tool wear predictions or changes in quality in a discrete production process. This is challenging as diverse stakeholders have very different foci and requirement toward the visualization [60] and granularity of the presented results. The engineer responsible for production planning will have different requirements on user interface, information content, and data visualization compared with the factory manager, sales engineer, and CNC specialist. Visualization offers a vehicle to illustrate the different levels of complexity and dynamics, from very detailed, e.g., machine tool level, to an overview, e.g., supply chain, level. Researchers and industries need to work together on driving visualization research as it is a critical part of smart manufacturing and its acceptance in real life to i) under-



Source: ZVEI, Platform Industrie 4.0 [53]

Fig. 7. Reference architecture model for Industrie 4.0.

stand the information needs regarding content and presentation of different stakeholders and ii) develop sophisticated visualization solutions capable of, e.g., breaking down abstract sensor-based data and provide value added, applicable information. It has to be understood that visualization is highly dependent on individual stakeholders needs, and thus, one-system-fits-all solutions are highly unlikely to have a positive impact on operations [45, 61].

Service/app marketplaces: It has been mentioned many times that smart manufacturing is an interdisciplinary field, with strong ties between engineering and computer science. App/service marketplaces had gained significant attention in recent years as they offer flexibility, transparency, and (in some cases) accreditation/security features. The advances in cloud computing and cloud manufacturing support this claim [62]. Flexible app/service marketplaces that offer a set of core apps and allow users or independent third parties to develop customized apps focusing on certain issues in the smart manufacturing realm are desired by industries and researchers [63]. User-developed apps can range from granular scheduling apps to advanced supply chain wide data analytics apps. The aircraft surveillance system provider plans to offer advanced video analysis services, some of which could also be developed by third parties. There is a significant overlap with research issue in interfaces/standards, visualization, data analytics, data security, and reference models.

Requirements engineering: Inadequate requirements engineering (RE) is one of the main sources of failure in development projects that results in exceeding budgets, missing functionalities or even termination of the project [64]. Therefore, in the context of smart manufacturing, an adequate RE is also the key to success or failure of every CPS. Ensuring communication and consistency of requirements for CPS is a challenge owing to the variety of stakeholders from different domains involved. Furthermore, viewing CPS as systems of systems, the interdependence of their elements and their evolutionary nature are challenging. This leads to exceptionally distributed RE activities with isolated RE approaches. In the aircraft surveillance case, the new service engineering department was initially organizationally separated from hardware and software engineering. This complexity results in fragmented and sometimes conflicting, unstable, unknown or not fully defined requirements among many disciplines. RE processes need to be able to handle competing stakeholder demands and dynamically respond to continually changing requirements. Finally, the properties of CPS are not the sum of the properties of their elements. Rather, they emerge from the cumulative interactions of single systems. Therefore, RE methods and tools have to be able to manage emergent effects with predictable results [65].

Geisberger and Broy [8] emphasize the central role of RE for CPS development, integration, maintenance, and evolution. According to their research agenda, the main topics in this area include involving users and other stakeholders from different domains to actively participate in CPS development from the beginning, adaption of CPS to needs, habits, and competences of the users, specification of formal requirements models, detailing of requirements, and mapping them to system elements. Furthermore, integration of mechanical engineering models with digital models from software, and systems engineering for the collaborative description of requirements as well as their implementation, validation, evolution, and communication between stakeholders from different disciplines are included. Penzenstadler and Eckhardt [66] introduce an RE content model for requirements elicitation and documentation at different levels that would have to be adopted by all stakeholders involved. Wiesner et al. [67] propose natural language processing (NLP) as a way to translate non-formal requirements to formal descriptions in different disciplines, thus enabling automated information processing. NLP techniques can assist requirements engineers in writing specifications by transforming requirements in natural language into discipline specific models.

4.2.3. Business Case Issues

Privacy issues: Privacy issues are strongly related to data security issues. However, in this case it was decided to separate the two areas. Whereas data security issues focus more on the technological ability to protect and preserve sensitive (manufacturing) data, privacy issues in this case describe challenges regarding the exchange of data, information and/or knowledge within the company itself and within the supply network. With the advent of smart manufacturing and connected company, detailed manufacturing data are available for advanced analytics. However, this presents a major threat for the core competencies of specialized manufacturers. By obtaining valuable data, competitors are able to "reverse engineer" the products as well as derive the underlying knowledge and capabilities, which is even more problematic. On the other hand, within supply networks, companies that are competitors in a different segment of the market may work together. For example, video data from aircraft surveillance that belong to the airline are stored by the service provider

and are regulated by passenger privacy, with laws differing between countries. Within the supply network, the sharing of information is beneficial for multiple reasons, e.g., quality improvements [68]. Developing mechanisms that ensure that the data are used only for the purpose for which they are shared requires interdisciplinary research involving policy, law, business, computer science, and engineering experts.

Investment issues: The authors debated if this "(initial) investment issue" should be included in the list as this is a rather general issue that stands true for most new technology based initiatives in manufacturing. However, in this case, the authors believe that the interdisciplinary nature and complexity of smart manufacturing installments present (real or imagined) barriers particularly for SMEs. Implementing smart manufacturing frameworks in an SME, such as the CPLS system, may require a significant investment without a full estimation of the break-even point from the start. The reliance on collaboration and the subsequent possibilities of process and organizational improvements are difficult to measure. The authors believe that strong testbeds as put together by the SMLC or lighthouse projects (I4.0) are a good start to establish benchmarks and successful examples highlighting the potential of such an investment. However, there is a need for theoretical research regarding the quantification and ROI on smart manufacturing applications especially for SMEs including the effects of collaboration in complex and dynamic supply networks.

Servitized BMs: In the manufacturing industry, BMs have traditionally focused on the fabrication or assembly of more or less customized (physical) products and have generated revenues from their sales. The required machines, materials, and qualified personnel cause high fix costs; therefore, the supply chain organization and efficiency have a significant influence on competitiveness [69]. However, these traditional BMs have come under pressure with the global harmonization of technological standards and the reduction of trade barriers. Many researchers have suggested that manufacturing firms in developed economies should expand their role in the value chain by extending their products with services so they do not have to compete solely on manufacturing cost [70, 71]. Neely et al. [72] published a study that shows five fundamental developments, namely, *"(1) the shift from a world of products to a world including solutions, (2) outputs to outcomes, (3) transactions to relationships, (3) suppliers to network partners, and (5) elements to ecosystems."* The result is the so-called product-service system (PSS) concept, a framework describing the integrated development, realization, and offering of specific product-service bundles as a solution for the customer [9].

This is fully in line with the idea of smart manufacturing, where CPS provide the solution for a specific problem through the outcome of their application. Instead of one-off sales transactions, CPS build relationships with other systems and their environment. For example, access to life cycle (usage) data may allow the manufacturers to improve their processes and offer additional services around their core product, as in the case of aircraft video surveillance. As a result, suppliers, customers, and other partners become part of a networked ecosystem around the CPS. Gorldt et al. [73] have coined the term "cyber-physical product-service system" (CPSS) for the integration of the PSS concept and smart manufacturing. However, a manufacturing enterprise that changes from the fabrication of products to offering CPSS solutions and transforms its supplier base into an ecosystem of network partners will have to analyze and adapt various elements of its BM to stay profitable and competitive. According to Osterwalder and Pigneur [74], these elements comprise not only the new value proposition, but also different customer segments and relationships, distribution channels, key resources, activities and relationship, as well as a changed cost structure and revenue streams. This creates several challenges for the company (see **Fig. 8**).




Fig. 8. Business model innovation.

From an internal viewpoint on how to develop and realize the CPSS, the risks and opportunities distributed among the network partners have to be assessed. What is the innovation effort compared to amortization time? However, from an external viewpoint on the CPSS offer the added value for the customer and the value of data has to be defined as well. Who is paying for which results?

The task for manufacturing enterprises is to integrate the new and unknown value proposition of a CPSS and the associated collaborative arrangements into their BM without experience in this field. Building networks with unconventional business partners is difficult and can result in incalculable risks. Innovative technologies have to be utilized for service provision and to develop closer relationships with the customer. New stakeholders in the ecosystem affect the cost structure and require new types of revenue models, which are currently not elaborated in manufacturing industries. Wiesner et al. [75] have developed an approach, based on methods such as the "blue ocean strategy" [76] and the BM canvas [74], which supports manufacturing enterprises in this transition.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, the fourth industrial revolution, called I4.0 (Germany/EU) and smart manufacturing (USA), has been in the focus. The momentum and traction that both initiatives (and similar ones in several other countries)

gained in recent years highlight the rapid paradigm shift that manufacturing industries and manufacturing research are undergoing today. I4.0 and smart manufacturing describe the transition toward a heavily data focused, supply network wide integration of information and communication technology and increased automation, while keeping humans in the loop. The goals are multiple and diverse, with energy saving, sustainability (social, economic and environmental), agility/resilience, as well as quality and efficiency improvements being in the center.

Several application scenarios were presented that have highlighted the wide scope of smart manufacturing. One application case focused on the CPLS for intralogistics that could reduce Kanban cycles and distances. The second one highlighted human-robot interaction on the shop floor and how I4.0 can ensure the safety of human workers and cobots working together in close proximity. The third use case gave insights on the application of video streams and operational data from an aircraft cabin surveillance system to offer new and enhanced archiving and analysis services through an innovative BM. The three use cases from different domains present a small selection of the diverse applications and challenges that I4.0 and smart manufacturing have to address and the huge potential that lies in these new initiatives.

After the application cases were presented, current and future research issues were derived and illustrated. Three main categories, i.e., technological, methodological, and business case challenges were chosen to structure the different research issues. It has to be noted, that while the list of research issues is already long, the issues identified and discussed are rather high level and the list does not claim to be comprehensive. The research issues selected for presentation in this paper were mainly based on the three use cases. As smart manufacturing and I4.0 are such overarching, paradigm shifting initiatives, there are many more research issues relevant today and most likely even more in the future. The more I4.0 and smart manufacturing are accepted and adopted by the industry and academia, the more different fields and research areas discover the potential of their work within the greater system. Moreover, the more traction smart manufacturing and I4.0 will get, the more research issues will emerge.

While there are already successful testbeds available, I4.0 and smart manufacturing are still in their early stages. Given the attention and available grants from funding agencies and high interest from industries (both large corporations and SMEs), it can be expected that rapid developments in this area will be achieved in the near future. Owing to their interdisciplinary nature, advances in basic research fields may find their way to industrial applications more rapidly than in the past years. This may be a chance for researchers who have not had much interaction with applied research in their fields to collaborate with researchers in related fields and industries and witness their research being used in real-life applications.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partly funded by the European Commission through the FoF-ICT Project PSYMBIOSYS (No. 636804), by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research through the research project CyProS (No. 02PJ2461) and by the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy through the InSA project. The authors wish to acknowledge the funding agencies and all the project partners for their contribution.

References:

[1] H. Kagermann, J. Helbig, A. Hellinger, and W. Wahlster, "Umsetzungsempfehlungen für das Zukunftsprojekt Industrie 4.0: Deutschlands Zukunft als Produktionsstandort sichern; Abschlussbericht des Arbeitskreises Industrie 4.0," Forschungsunion; Geschäftsstelle der Plattform Industrie 4.0, Berlin, Frankfurt/Main, 2013.

[2] L. Bochmann, L. Gehrke, A. Böckenkamp, F. Weichert, R. Albersmann, C. Prasse, C. Mertens, M. Motta, and K. Wegener, "Towards Decentralized Production: A Novel Method to Identify Flexibility Potentials in Production Sequences Based on Flexibility Graphs," Int. J. Automation Technol., Vol.9, No.3, pp. 270–282, 2015.

[3] Smart Manufacturing Leadership Coalition, Implementing 21st Century Smart Manufacturing: Workshop Summary Report, Washington D.C., 2011.

[4] Y. Nishioka, "Industrial Value Chain Initiative for Smart Manufacturing," Tokyo, Japan, 2015.

[5] J. Park, "Korea smart factory program," Tokyo, Japan, 2015.

[6] K. Shirase and K. Nakamoto, "Simulation Technologies for the Development of an Autonomous and Intelligent Machine Tool," Int. J. Automation Technol., Vol.7, No.1, pp. 6-15, 2013.

[7] R. Baheti and H. Gill, "Cyber-physical systems," The impact of control technology, pp. 161-166, 2011.

[8] E. Geisberger and M. Broy, "agendaCPS: Integrierte Forschungsagenda Cyber-Physical Systems," Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.

[9] T. S. Baines, H. W. Lightfoot, S. Evans, A. Neely, R. Greenough, J. Peppard, R. Roy, E. Shehab, A. Braganza, A. Tiwari, J. R. Alcock, J. P. Angus, M. Bastl, A. Cousens, P. Irving, M. Johnson, J. Kingston, H. Lockett, V. Martinez, P. Michele, D. Tranfield, I. M. Walton, and H. Wilson, "State-of-the-art in product-service systems," Proc. of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: J. of Engineering Manufacture Vol.221, No.10, pp. 1543-1552, 2007.

[10] G. Dr. Schütte, Keynote Speech, Berlin, 2012.

[11] F. Klocke, S. Kratz, T. Auerbach, S. Gierlings, G. Wirtz, and D. Veselovac, "Process Monitoring and Control of Machining Operations," Int. J. Automation Technol., Vol.5, No.3, pp. 403-411, 2011.

[12] German Federal Ministry of Education and Research, Zukunftsprojekt Industrie 4.0, available at https://www.bmbf.de/de/zukunftsprojekt-industrie-4-0-848.html [accessed May 15, 2016]

[13] E. Wallace and F. Riddick, "Panel on Enabling Smart Manufacturing," State College, USA, 2013.

[14] S. Chand and J. F. Davis, "What is smart manufacturing," Time Magazine Wrapper, pp. 28-33, 2010.

[15] Y. Lu, K. C. Morris, and S. Frechette, "Current Standards Landscape for Smart Manufacturing Systems," National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2016.

[16] S. Choi, B. H. Kim, and S. Do Noh, "A diagnosis and evaluation method for strategic planning and systematic design of a virtual factory in smart manufacturing systems," Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf., Vol.16, No.6, pp. 1107–1115, 2015.

[17] J. Davis, T. Edgar, R. Graybill, P. Korambath, B. Schott, D. Swink, J. Wang, and J. Wetzel, "Smart Manufacturing, Annual review of chemical and biomolecular engineering," Vol.6, pp. 141–160, 2015.

[18] N. Ivezic, B. Kulvatunyou, and V. Srinivasan, "On Architecting and Composing Through-life Engineering Information Services to Enable Smart Manufacturing," Procedia CIRP, Vol.22, pp. 45-52, 2014.

[19] S. Kumar, "Intelligent manufacturing systems," available at http://pchats.tripod.com/int_manu.pdf [accessed May 14, 2016]

[20] A. Fedorov, E. Goloschchapov, O. Ipatov, V. Potekhin, V. Shkodyrev, and S. Zobnin, "Aspects of Smart Manufacturing Via Agent-based Approach," Procedia Engineering, Vol.100, pp. 1572-1581, 2015.

[21] S. Mittal, M. Kahn, J. Davis, and T. Wuest, "Smart Manufacturing: Characteristics and Technologies," Columbia, SC, USA, 2016.

[22] Department of Energy, Energy Department Announces $70 Million for Innovation Institute on Smart Manufacturing, available at http://www.energy.gov/articles/energy-department-announces-70-million-innovation-institute-smart-manufacturing [accessed April 26, 2016]

[23] C. Piddington and M. Pegram, "An IMS test case-global manufacturing," in: Proceedings of the IFIP TC5/WG5. 7 Fifth International Conference on Advances in Production Management Systems, pp. 11-20, 1993.

[24] D. Lucke, C. Constantinescu, and E. Westkämper, "Smart Factory – A Step towards the Next Generation of Manufacturing," in: M. Mitsuishi, K. Ueda, and F. Kimura (Eds.), "Manufacturing Systems and Technologies for the New Frontier," Springer London, London, pp. 115–118, 2008.

[25] D. Zuehlke, "SmartFactory – from Vision to Reality in Factory Technologies," IFAC Proc., Vol.41, No.2, pp. 14101-14108, 2008.

[26] S. Wang, J. Wan, D. Li, and C. Zhang, "Implementing Smart Factory of Industrie 4.0: An Outlook," Int. J. of Distributed Sensor Networks, No.4, pp. 1-10, 2016.

[27] J.-M. Park, "Technology and Issue on Embodiment of Smart Factory in Small-Medium Manufacturing Business," J. of Korean Institute of Communications and Information Sciences, Vol.40, No.12, pp. 2491-2502, 2015.

[28] Y.-J. Cho, "The Future of manufacturing is with smart factory (Industry 4.0)," Busan, South Korea, 2015.

[29] L. Atzori, A. Iera, and G. Morabito, "The Internet of Things: A survey, Computer Networks," Vol.54, No.15, pp. 2787-2805, 2010.

[30] L. Tan and N. Wang, "Future internet: The Internet of Things," in: 2010 3rd Int. Conf. on Advanced Computer Theory and Engineering (ICACTE 2010), Chengdu, China, V5-376-V5-380.

[31] D. Miorandi, S. Sicari, F. de Pellegrini, and I. Chlamtac, "Internet of things: Vision, applications and research challenges," Ad Hoc Networks, Vol.10, No.7, pp. 1497–1516, 2012.

[32] J. Gubbi, R. Buyya, S. Marusic, and M. Palaniswami, "Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions, Future Generation Computer Systems," Vol.29, No.7, pp. 1645-1660, 2013.

[33] L. D. Xu, W. He, and S. Li, "Internet of Things in Industries: A Survey," IEEE Trans. Ind. Inf., Vol.10, No.4, pp. 2233-2243, 2014.

[34] M. Helu, K. Morris, K. Jung, K. Lyons, and S. Leong, "Identifying Performance Assurance Challenges For Smart Manufacturing," Manufacturing letters, Vol.6, pp. 1-4, 2015.

[35] H. S. Kang, J. Y. Lee, S. Choi, H. Kim, J. H. Park, J. Y. Son, B. H. Kim, and S. D. Noh, "Smart manufacturing: Past research, present findings, and future directions," Int. J. of Precis. Eng. and Manuf.-Green Tech., Vol.3, No.1, pp. 111–128, 2016.

[36] J. Bruner, "Industrial Internet: The machines are talking," O'Reilly Media, Sebastopol, USA, 2013.

[37] L. Wang, M. Törngren, and M. Onori, "Current status and advancement of cyber-physical systems in manufacturing," Journal of Manufacturing Systems, Vol.37, pp. 517–527, 2015.

[38] M. Rüßmann, M. Lorenz, P. Gerbert, M. Waldner, J. Justus, P. Engel, and M. Harnisch, "Industry 4.0: The Future of Productivity and Growth in Manufacturing Industries," Boston Consulting Group 2015.

[39] K.-D. Thoben, M. Veigt, D. Lappe, M. Franke, M. Kück, R. Zimmerling, J. Schlick, P. Stephan, and P. Guth, "Towards Networking Logistics Resources to enable a Demand-Driven Material Supply for Lean Production Systems – Basic Concept and Potential of a Cyber-Physical Logistics System," in: Proceedings of the 7. BVL Scientific Symposium on Logistics, BVL Int. Bundesvereinigung Logistik (BVL) e.V, pp. 42-69, 2014.

[40] A. Khalid, P. Kirisci, Z. Ghrairi, J. Pannek, Klaus, and D. Thoben, "Safety Requirements in Collaborative Human Robot Cyber Physical System," Proc. of the 5th Int. Conf. on Dynamics in Logistics LDIC, 2016.

[41] PSYMBIOSYS, Product-Service sYMBIOtic SYStems, available at http://www.psymbiosys.eu/ [accessed April 19, 2016]

[42] G. Reinhart, P. Engelhardt, F. Geiger, T. Philipp, W. Wahlster, D. Zühlke, J. Schlick, T. Becker, M. Löckelt, and B. Pirvu, "Cyber-physische Produktionssysteme: Produktivitäts-und Flexibilitätssteigerung durch die Vernetzung intelligenter Systeme in der Fabrik," wt Werkstattstechnik online, Vol.103, No.2, pp. 84–89, 2013.

[43] D. Surdilovic, G. Schreck, and U. Schmidt, "Development of Collaborative Robots (COBOTS) for Flexible Human-Integrated Assembly Automation," in: Robotics (ISR), 2010 41st Int. Symposium on and 2010 6th German Conf. on Robotics (ROBOTIK): Date, pp. 7-9 June 2010, [VDE Verlag], [Berlin], pp. 1-8, 2010.

[44] Y. Zhang, S. Ren, Y. Liu, and S. Si, "A big data analytics architecture for cleaner manufacturing and maintenance processes of complex products," J. of Cleaner Production, 2016.

[45] I. A. T. Hashem, I. Yaqoob, N. B. Anuar, S. Mokhtar, A. Gani, and S. Ullah Khan, "The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues," Information Systems Vol.47, pp. 98-115, 2015.

[46] G. Wang, A. Gunasekaran, E. W. Ngai, and T. Papadopoulos, "Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: Certain investigations for research and applications," Int. J. of Production Economics, Vol.176, pp. 98-110, 2016.

[47] T. Wuest, D. Weimer, C. Irgens, and K.-D. Thoben, "Machine learning in manufacturing: Advantages, challenges, and applications," Production & Manufacturing Research, Vol.4, No.1, pp. 23-45, 2016.

[48] C. Loebbecke and A. Picot, "Reflections on societal and business model transformation arising from digitization and big data analytics: A research agenda," The J. of Strategic Information Systems, Vol.24, No.3, pp. 149–157, 2015.

[49] M. E. Porter and J. E. Heppelmann, "How Smart, Connected Products Are Transforming Companies, Harvard Business Review," Vol.93, No.10, pp. 96-114, 2015.

[50] F. J. Riggins and S. F. Wamba, "Research Directions on the Adoption, Usage, and Impact of the Internet of Things through the Use of Big Data Analytics," in: 2015 48th Hawaii Int. Conf. on System Sciences (HICSS), HI, USA, pp. 1531-1540.

[51] T. Wuest, S. Wellsandt, and K.-D. Thoben, "Information Quality in PLM: A Production Process Perspective," in: A. Bouras, B. Eynard, S. Foufou, K.-D. Thoben (Eds.), "Product Lifecycle Management in the Era of Internet of Things," Springer Int. Publishing, Cham, pp. 826–834, 2016.

[52] K. A. Hribernik, C. Kramer, C. Hans, and K.-D. Thoben, "A Semantic Mediator for Data Integration in Autonomous Logistics Processes," in: K. Popplewell, J. Harding, R. Poler, and R. Chalmeta (Eds.), "Enterprise Interoperability IV," Springer London, London, pp. 157-167, 2010.

[53] VDI/VDE, ZVEI, "Reference Architecture Model Industrie 4.0 (RAMI4.0): Status Report," 2015.

[54] J.-Y. Ho and E. O'Sullivan, "Strategic standardisation of smart systems: A roadmapping process in support of innovation," Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 2016.

[55] M. P. Papazoglou, W.-J. van den Heuvel, and J. E. Mascolo, "A Reference Architecture and Knowledge-Based Structures for Smart Manufacturing Networks," IEEE Softw. Vol.32, No.3, pp. 61–69, 2015.

[56] DIN/DKE, "German Standardization Roadmap – Industry 4.0," Version 2, 2016.

[57] F. Ameri, B. Kulvatunyou, and N. Ivezic, "A Formal Process for Community-Based Reference Model Evolution for Smart Manufacturing Systems," in: S. Umeda, M. Nakano, H. Mizuyama, H. Hibino, D. Kiritsis, G. von Cieminski (Eds.), "Advances in Production Management Systems: Innovative Production Management Towards Sustainable Growth," Springer Int. Publishing, Cham, pp. 30-38, 2015.

[58] J. Davis, T. Edgar, J. Porter, J. Bernaden, and M. Sarli, "Smart manufacturing, manufacturing intelligence and demand-dynamic performance," Computers & Chemical Engineering, Vol.47, pp. 145–156, 2012.

[59] R. Y. Zhong, S. Lan, C. Xu, Q. Dai, and G. Q. Huang, "Visualization of RFID-enabled shopfloor logistics Big Data in Cloud Manufacturing," Int J Adv Manuf Technol, Vol.84, No.1-4, pp. 5-16, 2016.

[60] A. Brodsky, G. Shao, M. Krishnamoorthy, A. Narayanan, D. Menasce, and R. Ak, "Analysis and optimization in smart manufacturing based on a reusable knowledge base for process performance models," in: 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. on Big Data (Big Data), Santa Clara, CA, USA, pp. 1418-1427.

[61] T. Wuest, K. Hribernik, and K.-D. Thoben, "Accessing servitisation potential of PLM data by applying the product avatar concept," Production Planning & Control, Vol.26, No.14-15, pp. 1198-1218, 2015.

[62] B.S. Kulvatunyou, N. Ivezic, and V. Srinivasan, "On architecting and composing engineering information services to enable smart manufacturing," J. of computing and information science in engineering, Vol.16, No.3, 2016.

[63] B. Kulvatunyou, N. Ivezic, K. C. Morris, and S. Frechette, "Drilling down on Smart Manufacturing-enabling composable apps," Manufacturing letters, Vol.10, pp. 14-17, 2016.

[64] D. Hauksdóttir, N. H. Mortensen, and P. E. Nielsen, "Identification of a reusable requirements structure for embedded products in a dynamic market environment," Computers in Industry, Vol.64, No.4, pp. 351-362, 2013.

[65] C. Ncube, "On the engineering of systems of systems: Key challenges for the Requirements Engineering community," in: 2011 Workshop on Requirements Engineering for Systems, Services and Systems-of-Systems (RESˆ4), Trento, Italy, pp. 70–73, 2011.

[66] B. Penzenstadler and J. Eckhardt, "A Requirements Engineering content model for Cyber-Physical Systems," in: 2012 IEEE Second Workshop on Requirements Engineering for Systems, Services and Systems-of-Systems (RES4), Chicago, IL, USA, pp. 20–29, 2012.
[67] S. Wiesner, C. Gorldt, M. Soeken, K.-D. Thoben, and R. Drechsler, "Requirements Engineering for Cyber-Physical Systems," in: B. Grabot, B. Vallespir, S. Gomes, A. Bouras, D. Kiritsis (Eds.), "Advances in Production Management Systems. Innovative and Knowledge-Based Production Management in a Global-Local World," Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 281-288, 2014.
[68] T. Wuest, "Identifying Product and Process State Drivers in Manufacturing Systems Using Supervised Machine Learning," Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015.
[69] R. Boyer and M. Freyssenet, The emergence of new industrial models, Actes du GERPISA, No.15, pp. 75-144, 1995.
[70] S. Vandermerwe, and J. Rada, "Servitization of business: Adding value by adding services," European Management J., Vol.6, No.4, pp. 314-324, 1998.
[71] K.-D. Thoben, J. Eschenbächer, and H. Jagdev, "Extended Products: Evolving Traditional Product Concepts," in: K.-D. Thoben, F. Weber, K. S. Pawar (Eds.), Engineering the knowledge economy through co-operation: ICE 2001, the 7th International Conference on Concurrent Enterprising ; Bremen, Germany, 27 – 29th June 2001 ; [proceedings], Centre for Concurrent Enterprising, Univ. of Nottingham, Nottingham, pp. 429-440, 2001.
[72] A. Neely, O. Benedettini, and I. Visnjic, "The servitization of manufacturing: Further evidence," in: 18th European operations management association conference, Cambridge, pp. 3–6, 2011.
[73] C. Gorldt, S. Wiesner, and I. Westphal, "Product-Service Systems im Kontext von Industrie 4.0: Auf dem Weg zu CPSS," in: N. Gronau (Ed.), "Industrie 4.0 Management 1/2016: Product-Service Design," 2016.
[74] A. Osterwalder and Y. Pigneur, "Business model generation: A handbook for visionaries, game changers, and challengers," Wiley, Hoboken, N.J., 2013.
[75] S. Wiesner, P. Padrock, and K.-D. Thoben, "Extended Product Business Model Development in Four Manufacturing Case Studies," Procedia CIRP, Vol.16, pp. 110-115, 2014.
[76] R. Mauborgne and W. C. Kim, "Blue Ocean Strategy: How to Create Uncontested Market Space and Make the Competition Irrelevant," Harvard Business School Press, 2005.



Name:
Klaus-Dieter Thoben

Affiliation:
Prof. Dr.-Ing., Dean of the Faculty of Production Engineering at the University of Bremen
Director of BIBA – Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH

Address:
Hochschulring 20, 28359 Bremen, Germany

Brief Biographical History:
2003 Professor for "Integrated Product Development" at the University of Bremen
2012 Director of BIBA – Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH
2016 Dean of the Faculty of Production Engineering at the University of Bremen

Main Works:
• K.-D. Thoben, J. Eschenbächer, and H. Jagdev, "Extended Products: Evolving Traditional Product Concepts," In: K.-D. Thoben, F. Weber, K. S. Pawar (Eds.), ICE 2001 Proc., pp. 429–440. Nottingham, 2001.
• K.-D. Thoben, J. Pöppelbuss, S. Wellsandt, M.Teucke, and D. Werthmann, "Considerations on a Lifecycle Model for Cyber-Physical System Platforms," In: B. Grabot, B. Vallespir, S. Gomes, A. Bouras, D. Kiritsis (Eds.), "Innovative and Knowledge-Based Production Management in a Global – Local World," No.I, pp. 85-92, Springer, 2014.

Membership in Academic Societies:
• Vice Chairman Europe IFIP Working Group 5.7 "Advances in Production Management Systems" (APMS)
• Scientific Society for Product Development, Germany (WiGeP)
• Executive Board of the Center for Wind Energy Research, Germany (ForWind)



Name:
Stefan Alexander Wiesner

Affiliation:
Research Scientist, BIBA – Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH

Address:
Hochschulring 20, 28359 Bremen, Germany

Brief Biographical History:
2000–2007 Studied Engineering and Management at the University of Bremen, finishing with Diploma
2007 Joined BIBA division "Applied Information and Communication Technology for Production"

Main Works:
• S. Wiesner, K.-D. Thoben, "Requirements for models, methods and tools supporting servitisation of products in manufacturing service ecosystems," IJCIM, pp. 1–11, 2016. doi: 10.1080/0951192X.2015.1130243
• S. Wiesner, C. Guglielmina, S. Gusmeroli, and G. Doumeingts (Eds.), "Manufacturing Service Ecosystem," Bremer Schriften zur integrierten Produkt- und Prozessentwicklung, Vol.78. Mainz, Aachen, 2014. ISBN: 9783958860032

Membership in Academic Societies:
• IFIP Working Group 5.7 SIG on Smart Manufacturing



Name:
Thorsten Wuest

Affiliation:
Assistant Professor, Dr.-Ing., Department of Industrial and Management Systems Engineering (IMSE), Benjamin M. Statler College of Engineering and Mineral Resources, West Virginia University

Address:
Engineering Sciences Building 347, Morgantown 26506, West Virginia, USA

Brief Biographical History:
2009 Research Scientist at Bremer Institut für Produktion und Logistik GmbH
2013 Visiting Scholar at University of Southern California
2015 Assistant Professor at West Virginia University

Main Works:
• T. Wuest, C. Irgens, and K.-D. Thoben, "An approach to quality monitoring in manufacturing using supervised machine learning on product state data," Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, Vol.25, No.5, pp. 1167-1180. 2014. DOI 10.1007/s10845-013-0761-y
• T. Wuest, "Identifying product and process state drivers in manufacturing systems using supervised machine learning," Springer Theses, New York, Heidelberg: Springer Verlag., 2015, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-17611-6

Membership in Academic Societies:
• IFIP Working Group 5.7, "Advances in Production Management Systems" (APMS) & 5.1 "Global Product development for the whole life-cycle," Member
• International Academy for Production Engineering (CIRP), Research Affiliate
• Institute of Industrial and Systems Engineers (IISE), Senior Member